BACKGROUND

1. Name of Proponent:

Application prepared by:

Duwamish Shipyard, Inc.

Jay W. Spearman, Consulting Engineer

P.O. Box 6065

Bellevue, Washington 98007

2. Address and Phone Number of Proponent: (206) 822-6021

5658 West Marginal Way S.W. Seattle, Washington 98106 (206) 767-4880

3. Date Checklist Submitted:

February 11, 1981

4. Agency Requiring Checklist: City of Seattle Department of Construction & Land Use, Land Use Division

5. Name of Proposal, if applicable:

N/A

Nature and Brief Description of the Proposal (including but not limited to its size, general design elements, and other factors that will give an accurate understanding of its scope and nature):

It is proposed to construct a wedge-shaped addition, about 15' maximum width X 60' long, to an existing timber wharf to provide sufficient width for use of a mobile crane in connection with vessel repairs and maintenance; it is also proposed to dredge a portion of the bed of the Duwamish Waterway, (about 80' wide X 570' long) to a depth of -25.0 (MLLW = 0.0), and maintain it at that depth. This will restore and maintain a depth sufficient for vessel mooring, loading and unloading, for access to marine railway and to operate drydocks. Dredging will be done by floating clamshell, approximately*12,000 C.Y. of mud the first year and 2,500 C.Y. each year for the next four succeeding years. Dredged material will be transported by bottom-dump barge to D.N.R. Four Mile Rock site for deep water disposal.

7. Location of Proposal (describe the physical setting of the proposal, as well as the extent of the land area affected by any environmental impacts, including any other information needed to give an accurate understanding of the environmental setting of the proposal):

Site is on the westerly side of the Duwamish Waterway (Riverside Reach). Area is zoned IH and shoreline environment is UD. Property is occupied by vessel repair facilities of Duwamish Shipyard, Inc. Upland portion contains office building, shop and storage buildings, outside material and equipment storage and visitor and employee parking areas. Shoreline is occupied by wood wharves and bulkheading, a marine railway, two floating drydocks, dolphins and access floats, and about 200 L.F. of low bank protected by rubble rip rap. Neighborhood is industrial in character. No change in use is planned.

8. Estimated Date of Completion of the Proposal:

.3 years after all required permits are issued

9. List of all Permits, Licenses, or Government Approvals Required for the Proposal (federal, state, and localincluding rezones):

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Permit Wash. Depts of Fisheries & Game Hydraulic Project Approval City of Seattle Shoreline Substantial Development Permit City of Seattle Grading Permit

10. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain:

Possible future project adjacent to shoreline at southeast corner of property; work might involve some dredging and/or additions at south end of existing wharf.

11. Do you know of any plans by others which may affect the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain:

General Construction. Company, adjoining property owner to the north may perform some dredging in conjunction with this project.

Separate permit is being applied for.

12. Attach any other application form that has been completed regarding the proposal; if none has been completed, but is expected to be filed at some future date, describe the nature of such application form:

Copy of U.S. Army Engineers application enclosed. Applications will be submitted in the future for all permits listed in (9) above.

II. E	NVIRON	MENTAL IMPACTS					
(Explana	ations of all "yes" and "maybe"	answers Yes	are red Maybe)	
(1) Eart	th. Will the proposal result in		Maybe	NO :		
	(a)	Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructure			¥	•	
•	(b)	Disruptions, displacements, corpaction, or overcovering of the soil?		wagethinkeen floring and	Collegeal Announcement		
,	(c)	Change in topography or ground surface relief features?	X		OF COLUMN ARM OF SCHOOL		
	(d)	The destruction, covering, or modification of any unique geologic or physical features?	day of the state o	#UIS MUDONIFU	<u>X</u>		•
٠.	(e)	Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?	G ANGER STANSANT COMM	Name (Colomor Programs of Colomor Colo	<u> X</u>		
••	(f)	Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition, or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet or lake?				3	
•	xplanat) & (c	cion:) Underwater topography will be	altered	by the	propos	ed dre	edging
(;	2) <u>Air</u>	•					
	(a)	Air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?	1	***	<u>X</u>		
	(b)	The creation of objectionable odors?		•	- X		

			Yes	Maybe	No
	(c)	Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?	NAS Sent Specimen (gastina	QNO CKSQUAZIONALUS (MINISTER)	X
Expl	anati	on:			
•	•				
(3)	Wate	r. Will the proposal result in:			
	(a)	Changes in currents, or the course of direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?		X	diffrancisco concentrante
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(b)	Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the amount of surface water runoff?			X
	(c)	Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?			X
	(d)	Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?		Carrier de la carrier ca	<u> </u>
	(e)	Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen, or turbidity?		XX	
	(f)	Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters?	- Millionide Notice de Paris d	acamacan manantin	X
	(g)	Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavation?			. X
	(h)	Deterioration in ground water quality, either through direct injection, or through the seepage of leachate, phosphates, detergents, waterborne virus or bacteria, or other substances into the ground waters?		•	

			Yes	Maybe	No	
	(i)	Reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?		gaugean and a second	X	
Expl	anati	ion:				
are	eagea	e) Water movement may be nominally af area; thence maybe a temporary incre pile driving.	fected by ease in tu	y change of urbidity du	depth ring dr	in the edging
(4)	Flor	a. Will the proposal result in:		•		
	(a)	Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of flora (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, micro-flora and aquatic plants)?	SCOTON MATERIAL STATES			
	(b)	Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of flora?	*** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** **	and Successful Market State of the State of	X	-
	(c)	Introduction of new species of flora into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?	•	-	X	<u>.</u>
ŕ	(d)	Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?	With the second		X	
•	anati (a) Po	on: ossible temporary change in aquatic	life duri	na dredain	and/or	nilo deiving
	•	, and a sum of the sum	ire duri	ing ar eaging	g anayor	pire arring
(5)	Faun	a. Will the proposal result in:				•
	(a)	Changes in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of fauna (birds, land animals including reptiles,	•			
		<pre>fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects, or micro- fauna)?</pre>		X	•	<u>.</u>
	(b)	Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of fauna?		anticatoramenta	X	-
	(c)	Introduction of new species of fauna into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of fauna?			X	

	Yes	Maybe	No	
<pre>(d) Deterioration of existing wildlife habitat?</pre>	accus and another subsection		X	
Explanation:				
(a) Possible temporary change in aquatic life	e during	dredging	and/or pil	e driving
	ŧ.			
			•	
(6) Noise: Will the proposal increase existing noise levels?	MC-Section Section	X		
Explanation:	, •			
Possible temporary change during dredging and existing noise levels in this industrial area probably be insignificant.				
(7) <u>Light and Glare</u> . Will the proposal produce new light or glare?	decades had different to	«ECRoscycoperszajoni włoska (oppositala (1973)	X	•
Explanation:		:		
(8) Land Use. Will the proposal result in the alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?			<u> </u>	
Explanation:			•	•
				_
•		:		
(9) Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in:		: :		
(a) Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?	Special Special Control of the Contr		<u>X</u>	
(b) Depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?	Q	Schwing was not been stated to the	<u> </u>	
Explanation:		•		

(10) Risk of Upset. Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to

					Yes	Maybe	No
٠	radi	pesticides, chemicals or ation) in the event of an dent or upset conditions?				* ***	X
Expl	anati	on:					
(11)	alte dens	lation. Will the proposal rethe location, distribution, sity, or growth rate of the n population of an area?		•	400PPGQ selfectrum/sca	SECTION SECTIO	X
Expl	anati	on:	**		•		•
••				0			
(12)	exis	ing. Will the proposal affect ting housing, or create demand additional housing?	•			C.	<u> </u>
Expl	anati	on:		÷.		العاربين	
	•						
(13)		sportation/Circulation. the proposal result in:					,
	(a)	Generation of additional vehicular movement?				-	X
	(b)	Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?				and the second of the second o	X
	(c)	<pre>Impact upon existing,trans- portation systems?</pre>					<u>X</u>
	(d)	Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?			gunddidu und unwente	e de la constantina del constantina de la constantina del constantina de la constant	<u> </u>
	(e)	Alterations to waterborne, rail, or air traffic?					X
	(f)	Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?					XX

Explanation:

		*				
(14)	have need	ic Servies. Will the proposal an effect upon, or result in a for new or altered governmental vices in any of the following as:		Yes	Maybe	<u>No</u>
٠	(a)	Fire protection?		 	Stringensen Countries of	~~X
٠.	(b)	Police protection?		ا دوستوستانون	enumerina was	X
	(c)	Schools?		Quality colo	#C3rt@downerspct	
,	(d)	Parks or other recreational facilities?		Франсонноск	Chipson territarion	
	(e)	Maintenance of public facili- ties, including roads?	•	Stranovinský	G e-Immersion-scale of T	<u> </u>
•-	(f)	Other governmental services?		Фэнтерия	(Springs) Collings of the Collins o	X
Expla	natio	n:		,		
••	·	•	. •			•
(15)	Ener	gy. Will the proposal result in:				
	(a)	Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?	•	Granendaphi sata	· Consuccement	X
· ·	(b)	Demand upon existing sources or energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?		C D-mary restriction at	(hannada filamenta	e-nemX-re-nem
Expla	natio	n:	•			
(16)	in a	ities. Will the proposal result need for new systems, or alterasto the following utilities:				
	(a)	Power or natural gas?		· · ·	Control of the same	-X
	(b)	Communications systems?		***************************************	-	_X
	101	Madaus				

				Yes	Maybe	No
	(d)	Sewer or septic tanks?		Commenter	##	
	(e)	Storm water drainage?			**	
	(f)	Solid waste and disposal?		Van Westerster	Ф ермания (ССС)	
Expla	natio	n:				·
(17)	resu haza	n Health. Will the proposal It in the creation of any health rd or potential health hazard luding mental health)?		OSC MARKET MACHINE	Физиналичного	сапато Думинатача
Expla	natio	n:				•,
					•	•
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
(18)	in to or vothe of an	hetics. Will the proposal result he obstruction of any scenic vista iew open to the public, or will proposal result in the creation n aesthetically offensive site to public view?	•	•	-	X
Expla	națio	n :				
				٠.		•
		•				
(19)	in an	eation. Will the proposal result n impact upon the quality or tity of existing recreational rtunities?				X
Expla	nation	n:				•
		•				
•						
(20)	propo a sig histo	eological/Historical. Will the osal result in an alteration of gnificant archeological or orical site, structure, object wilding?				<u>x</u> .
Explai	natior): 		•		

III. I, the undersigned, state that to the best of my knowledge the above information is true and complete. It is understood that the lead agency may withdraw any declaration of non-significance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part.

Proponent:

Jay W. Spearman, Consulting Engineer for Duwamish Snipyard, Inc.